

From: [John Anderson](#)
To: [NASAA Comments](#)
Cc: [Theresa Leets](#); bill.beatty@dfi.wa.gov; [Erin Houston](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL]NASAA comments and feedback for
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:56:50 PM

Dear NASAA Team,

My name is John Anderson, and I have 17 years experience as a franchisee for two different franchise systems and 8 years as a franchise development professional, consultant and broker. I work hard with people to educate them on the opportunities franchises provide and to help them determine if business start up is good for them. When we determine that they could be good for franchises, we work hard to help them find the right one for them. I am all for efforts to support those who operate this way ethically and to reign in overly aggressive sales tactics. I have recently become aware of proposed legislation and I am writing to provide feedback on the NASAA Model Franchise Broker Registration Act. Thank you for allowing me to share my insights based on years of involvement in the franchise industry.

As suggested above the intention to ensure ethical practices in franchise brokering is commendable, even needed. However, the current draft of the Model Act introduces complexities that might inadvertently hinder the franchise sales process. The requirements proposed in the Act, seem heavy handed favoring large, established franchise brands and making business much more difficult for small and emerging franchisors. It could even fall under anti trust law if enacted fully as proposed. Here are my observations and suggestions:

The Role of Franchise Brokers

Franchise brokers play a vital role in matching prospective franchisees with the right franchisors. Ethical brokers prioritize their clients' best interests, helping them find suitable franchise opportunities. Brokers are akin to employment recruiters; they facilitate introductions without making final decisions on franchise awards and are compensated only when a franchisor awards a franchise.

Impact on Prospective Franchisees

The current draft of the Model Act may unintentionally complicate the franchise discovery process for prospective franchisees. Requiring multiple disclosure documents can create unnecessary confusion and anxiety, potentially deterring individuals from pursuing franchise opportunities.

Key Concerns and Suggestions

Section 2: Definitions

The distinction between a "franchise broker" and a "franchise broker representative" requires clearer definitions. Licensing for brokers, including specified training hours similar to the Certified Franchise Executive (CFE) program, would be beneficial. However, the term "representatives" is too vague and will be misinterpreted.

Section 3: Prohibited Practices

While it is sensible to prevent unregistered / aka untrained brokers from engaging with prospective franchisees, imposing the responsibility on franchisors to verify broker

registration adds unnecessary administrative burden. A centralized, government-maintained database would be essential for this, though it might be impractical to implement.

Section 4: Registration

The term "material change" needs a precise definition. Significant changes, such as criminal history or new litigation, should be considered material. However, frequent updates for minor changes would be burdensome and unnecessary.

Section 5: Disclosure Obligation

The mandate to disclose "all compensation" is challenging. Referral fees often change, necessitating frequent updates to disclosure documents, which can lead to confusion. Disclosing a "typical referral fee range" would be a more practical approach, balancing transparency with administrative feasibility. This disclosure should be within the franchisor's FDD, not additional paperwork passed to the franchise broker.

Client List Disclosure

Requiring brokers to disclose their client lists raises significant confidentiality concerns and could undermine trust between brokers and clients. This requirement might also lead to misuse of information and does not clearly benefit prospective franchisees. The rationale for this requirement is not well-defined in the proposed act.

Record Retention

The proposed requirement to retain records for 10 years, even after business closure, is excessive. The statute of limitations for fraud and misrepresentation ranges from 5-7 years. Even the IRS only requires record retention for 7 years. A 10-year requirement is overly burdensome and should be reconsidered.

Education and Licensing Requirements

To promote integrity among franchise brokers, national education and licensing requirements should be implemented. Mandatory training programs, similar to the CFE, would ensure brokers adhere to ethical standards and industry best practices. National licensing would create a consistent standard of professionalism and accountability, benefiting the franchise sector. Breaking this down to a state by state level will be burdensome and financially prohibitive.

Conclusion

The Model Act should protect prospective franchisees while fostering an environment conducive to ethical franchise brokering. The current draft introduces complexities that could discourage potential franchisees and impose undue burdens on brokers and franchisors. I urge the NASAA to consider these suggestions to develop a more balanced and effective regulatory framework.

Thank you for considering my comments. I am open to further discussions and clarifications. Please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

John

John Anderson, "That Franchise Guy"

President, Lakeport Franchise Group

Direct (918) 962-0506

Cell (714) 267-3418

ThatFranchiseGuy.com

Connect on LinkedIn: [John's LinkedIn Page](#)

Credentials:

BounceU Multi-Unit Franchisee since 2006 / Franchise Advisory Council Fun Brands / 2008-2011, 2013, 2014

BounceU Franchise Association President, 2014 - 2016

Knockouts Haircuts for Men Multi-Unit Franchisee since 2018

Certified Franchise Consultant since 2016

Author, Be The CEO of Your Life, [available on Amazon](#)

Recipient acknowledges that it has not relied on any statement made by our brokers in our candidates determination of whether or not to become a franchisee or enter into any relationship with the Franchise. The candidate has conducted or will conduct, prior to signing any agreement with respect to the Franchise, its own due diligence on the Franchise and has not and will not rely on any representation whatsoever of our brokers.

The information contained in this message and any attachments is confidential and intended only for the named recipient(s). If you have received this message in error, you are prohibited from copying, distributing or using the information. Please contact the sender immediately by return email and delete the original message.

This email does not constitute an offer of a franchise per the registration requirements by the Franchisor in some States. The following are the registration States (CA, HI, IL, IN, MD, MI, MN, NY, ND, RI, SD, VA, WA, WI).

The information provided in any PowerPoint presentation or brochure is found in greater detail in the franchisor's Franchise Disclosure Document. You should review the entire Franchise Disclosure Document carefully and seek the advice of a professional advisor prior to making any decision on whether to purchase this franchise. Do not rely on any information which is not consistent with the information in the Franchise Disclosure Document.